



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICES OF KARL HORMANN
86 SPARKS STREET
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

COPY MAILED

JUN 04 2008

In re Application of : **OFFICE OF PETITIONS**
Rolf Koenenkamp :
Application No. 10/532,190 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: April 21, 2005 :
Attorney Docket No. 050685-US :
:

This is a decision on the petition, filed June 27, 2007 and on July 2, 2007, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 (no fee) requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to reply to the Ex Parte Quayle Office action mailed June 23, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 15, 2007.

Petitioner asserts that the Office action dated June 23, 2006 was not received.

A review of the written record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Office action and in the absence of any irregularity, there is a strong presumption that the Office action was properly mailed to the practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Office action was not in fact received. In this regard, the showing required to establish the failure to receive the Office action must consist of the following:

1. a statement from practitioner stating that the Office action was not received by the practitioner;
2. a statement from the practitioner attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received; and
3. a copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office action would have been entered had it been received must be attached to and referenced in the practitioner's statement.

See MPEP § 711.03(c) under subheading "Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure to Receive Office Action," and "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993).

The petition fails to satisfy all of the above-stated requirements.

Accordingly, absent the required evidence to establish nonreceipt of the Office action of June 23, 2006, the petition requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment cannot be granted at this time.

Petitioner must supply evidence that shows that he failed to receive the Office action at the address of record when the Office action was mailed, which is located at the 86 Sparks Street address, in Cambridge, MA 02138.

Additionally, the file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a change of address should be filed in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

If petitioner cannot supply the evidence necessary to withdraw the holding of abandonment, or simply does not wish to, petitioner should consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) stating that the delay was unintentional. Public Law 97-247, § 3, 96 Stat. 317 (1982), which revised patent and trademark fees, amended 35 U.S.C. § 41(a)(7) to provide for the revival of an "unintentionally" abandoned application without a showing that the delay in prosecution or in late payment of the issue fee was "unavoidable." This amendment to 35 U.S.C. § 41(a)(7) has been implemented in 37 CFR 1.137(b). An "unintentional" petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by the \$1,540 petition fee.

The filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) cannot be intentionally delayed and therefore must be filed promptly. A person seeking revival due to unintentional delay cannot make a statement that the delay was unintentional unless the entire delay, including the date it was discovered that the application was abandoned until the filing of the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b), was unintentional. A statement that the delay was unintentional is not appropriate if petitioner intentionally delayed the filing of a petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Any request for reconsideration of this decision should be filed within **two (2) months** from the mail date of this decision. *Note* 37 CFR 1.181(f). The request for reconsideration should include a cover letter and be entitled as a "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment."

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: **Mail Stop PETITION**
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: **U. S. Patent and Trademark Office**
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By facsimile: **(571) 273-8300**
Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.



Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **Karl Hormann**
P.O. Box 381516
Cambridge, MA 02238-1516